

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/663,872	Applicant(s) SANCHEZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Katherine A. Bareford	Art Unit 1762	

All Participants:

(1) Katherine A. Bareford.

(2) R. Klein.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

claims 20, 27

Claims discussed:

20, 27 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kath Bareford

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Klein agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to place the case in condition for allowance, as shown on the attached Examiner's Amendment, by cancelling claims 20 and 27 and amending claim 23 to depend from claim 21. Mr. Klein indicated that he would respectively like to reserve his right to proceed with a further application for canceled claims 20 and 27..